CORRIGENDUM no.1 to

Additional information about the Contract Notice

II.1.1) Contract Notice Title: Supervision of Construction and FOPIP for municipal waste water collection and treatment system in Čačak **II.1.1)** Contract Notice Reference Number: NEAP/REC/2022/EA_RP/0008

II.1.1) Contract Notice Reference Number: NEAR/BEG/2023/EA-RP/0098

VII. 1.1) Reason for change

Modification of original information submitted by the contracting authority.

VII.1.2) Text to be corrected in the original notice

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION ABOUT THE CONTRACT NOTICE

Article 16 Selection criteria

Only the last paragraphs of this article are hereby modified:

Instead of:

Previous experience which caused breach of contract and termination by a contracting authority shall not be used as reference.

If more than 8 eligible candidates meet the above selection criteria, the relative strengths and weaknesses of the applications of these candidates must be re-examined in order to rank their applications and identify the 8 best applications for the tender procedure. The only additional comparative criteria that which will be taken into consideration during this re-examination, in the order in which they appear below, are:

a. the highest number of reference contracts that meet criterion 16.3) (in case one project covers both criteria 16.3.a) and 16.3.b) it will only be counted once during the reexamination)

b. cumulative total capacity of WWTPs supervised by the candidate of the contracts linked to project references that meet criterion 16.3)

N.B.: additional comparative criterion No 1 shall be applied to all the eligible candidates that meet the selection criteria. If, after applying additional comparative criterion No 1, it is not possible to identify the 8 best candidates because two or more candidates are tied for the 8th position, additional comparative criterion No 2 shall be applied only to these tied candidates.

Read:

This means that the contract the candidate refers to could have been started at any time during the indicated period but it does not necessarily have to be completed during that period, nor implemented during the entire period. Candidates are allowed to refer either to projects completed within the reference period (although started earlier) or to projects not yet completed. Only the portion satisfactorily completed during the reference period will be taken into consideration. This portion will have to be supported by documentary evidence (statement or certificate from the entity which awarded the contract, proof of payment) also detailing its value. If a candidate has implemented the project in a consortium, the percentage that the candidate has successfully completed must be clear from the documentary evidence, together with a description of the nature of the services provided/supplies delivered if the selection criteria relating to the pertinence of the experience have been used.

Previous experience which caused breach of contract and termination by a contracting authority shall not be used as reference.

If more than 8 eligible candidates meet the above selection criteria, the relative strengths and weaknesses of the applications of these candidates must be re-examined in order to rank their applications and identify the 8 best applications for the tender procedure. The only additional comparative criteria that which will be taken into consideration during this re-examination, in the order in which they appear below, are:

a. the highest number of reference contracts that meet criterion 16.3) (in case one project covers both criteria 16.3.a) and 16.3.b) it will only be counted once during the reexamination)

b. cumulative total capacity of WWTPs supervised by the candidate of the contracts linked to project references that meet criterion 16.3)

N.B.: additional comparative criterion No 1 shall be applied to all the eligible candidates that meet the selection criteria. If, after applying additional comparative criterion No 1, it is not possible to identify the 8 best candidates because two or more candidates are tied for the 8th position, additional comparative criterion No 2 shall be applied only to these tied candidates.

All other terms and conditions of the additional information about the contract notice remain unchanged. The above alterations and/or corrections to the additional information about the contract notice are integral part of the additional information about the contract notice.